

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,342	03/30/2006	Eric Jonsen	US030295US	8861	
28159 PHILIPS MED	7590 10/30/2007 ICAL SYSTEMS		EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PATEL, NATASHA		
P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHELL EVERETT HIGHWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOTHELL, W.	 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	3766		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-1-	_
/-	7
L	- 7

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,342	JONSEN, ERIC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Natasha N. Patel	3766	

	Ivalasiia IV. Falci	3700	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading to the statutory	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 September 2007</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpilatit / ili/oriation	(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		Carl H.	Toyo
		Carl X., CARL L PRIMARY E	AÝNO XAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Solosko et al. still reads on the claims since: a.) a connector simply needs to be something that connects multiple things,

b.) an adapter (defined as a connector for joining parts or devices having different sizes, designs, etc., enabling them to be fitted or to work together) is inherent for the electrode to be attached to the interior connector, and

c.) Claim 2, as written, only requires that the electrode is sealed inside the enclosure-- not necessarily the electrode and the wireset .